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#### Abstract

We describe supertraces on "queerifications" (see arXiv:2203.06917) of the algebras of matrices of "complex size", algebras of observables of Calogero-Moser model, Vasiliev higher spin algebras, and (super)algebras of pseudo-differential operators. In the latter case, the supertraces establish complete integrability of the analogs of Euler equations to be written (this is one of several open problems and conjectures offered).
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## 1 Introduction

The goal of this note is to give a list of supertraces in a quite general new situation (Sects. 2-5); to make the exposition self-contained we remind certain known results (Sect. 6 and partly Sects. 4, 5).

The traces on Lie algebras, and even (here: not odd) supertraces on Lie superalgebras, are known to be very useful, for example, in representation theory, see, e.g., [6] and references therein. The odd supertraces are less known, and hence less popular. In Sect. 5, we show one of their usages not previously explored: application to the study of integrability of certain dynamical systems.

Inspired by [4], where Lie algebras are queerified over an algebraically closed ground field of characteristic $p=2$ to produce the complete list of simple finite-

[^0]dimensional Lie superalgebras in characteristic $p=2$, this new method-Lie queerification-producing many new simple Lie superalgebras from associative algebras and superalgebras over $\mathbb{C}$ is applied in [15] to several infinite-dimensional algebras of interest in theoretical physics. A number of papers were devoted to the description of traces on these algebras, and supertraces on these algebras considered as superalgebras, see [10-13]. In this note, we describe the supertraces on the Lie queerifications of these algebras and superalgebras, having added one more type of example.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 From Associative to Lie

Let $\mathbb{K}$ be an algebraically closed ground field of characteristic $p \neq 2$; unless otherwise stated, we consider $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{C}$.

Let $A$ be any associative algebra, and let $A^{L}$ be the Lie algebra whose space is $A$ but multiplication is given by the commutator $[a, b]:=a b-b a$ for any $a, b \in A$.

Let $A:=A_{\overline{0}} \oplus A_{\overline{1}}$ be a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded algebra; let $p$ denote the parity function: $p(a)=i \in \mathbb{Z} / 2$ for any non-zero $a \in A_{i}$. If $A$ a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded associative algebra, let $A^{S}$ be the Lie superalgebra whose space is $A$ but the multiplication is given by the supercommutator, which by the modern habitual abuse of notation is also denoted $[\cdot, \cdot]$, although defined differently, namely as

$$
[a, b]:=a b-(-1)^{p(a) p(b)} b a \text { for any homogeneous } a, b \in A
$$

and extended to inhomogeneous elements via linearity. Let $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}:=[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ be the first derived Lie algebra (resp. Lie superalgebra), a.k.a. commutant (resp. supercommutant), of the Lie algebra (resp. Lie superalgebra) $\mathfrak{g}$.

Not every $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded algebra $A$ is called superalgebra: only if multiplication in $A$ or-if $A$ is associative-in $A^{S}$ depends on the parity. Thus, a liefication $A^{L}$ of a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded algebra $A$ is a Lie algebra, whereas a liefication $A^{S}$ of a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded superalgebra $A$ is a Lie superalgebra (satisfying axioms of anti-commutativity and Jacobi identity with signs depending on parity).

Recall that a trace (called supertrace in the super setting, for emphasis) on a given Lie algebra (resp. Lie superalgebra) $\mathfrak{g}$ is a linear function that vanishes on its commutant (resp. supercommutant), so there are $\operatorname{dim}\left(\mathfrak{g} / \mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)$ linearly independent traces (resp. supertraces) on $\mathfrak{g}$; some of the supertraces can be even and some of them odd.

### 2.2 Queerifications in Characteristic $p \neq 2$ (from [4])

Let $A$ be an associative algebra. The space of the associative algebra $\mathrm{Q}(A)$-the associative queerification of $A$-is $A \oplus \Pi(A)$, where $\Pi$ is the change of parity functor, with the same multiplication in $A$; let the left action of $A$ on $\Pi(A)$, considered as a
copy of $A$, and multiplication in $\Pi(A)$ be

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x \Pi(y):=\Pi(x y), \quad \Pi(x) y:=\Pi(x y) \\
& \Pi(x) \Pi(y):=x y \text { for any } x, y \in A .
\end{aligned}
$$

Set $\mathrm{Q}(n):=\mathrm{Q}(\operatorname{Mat}(n))$.
We will be mostly interested in the following: Lie queerifications $\mathfrak{q}(A)$ :

1. when $A$ is an associative algebra, "Liefication" yields Lie algebra $A^{L}$;
2. when $A$ is a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded associative algebra, "Liefication" yields $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded Lie algebra $A^{L}$;
3. when $A$ is an associative superalgebra (this case differs from case 2 ) because passing to $A^{S}$ the supercommutators instead of commutators are considered), "super Liefication" yields Lie superalgebra $A^{S}$ which is $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded by parity.

In Case (1), as spaces, $\mathfrak{q}(A):=A^{L} \oplus \Pi(A)$, so $\mathfrak{q}(A)_{\overline{0}}=A^{L}$ and $\mathfrak{q}(A)_{\overline{1}}=\Pi(A)$, with the bracket given by the following expressions and super anti-symmetry, i.e., anti-symmetry amended by the Sign Rule:

$$
\begin{align*}
{[x, y] } & :=x y-y x ; \quad[x, \Pi(y)]:=\Pi(x y-y x) ; \quad[\Pi(x), \Pi(y)] \\
& :=x y+y x \text { for any } x, y \in A . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

The term "queer", now conventional, is taken after the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}(n):=$ $\mathfrak{q}(\operatorname{Mat}(n))$. (The associative superalgebra $\mathrm{Q}(n)$ is an analog of $\operatorname{Mat}(n)$; likewise, the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ is an analog of $\mathfrak{g l}(n)$ for several reasons, for example, due the role of these two types of analogs in Schur's Lemma and in the classification of central simple superalgebras, see [14, Ch.7].) We express the elements of the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q}(n)$ by means of a pair of matrices:

$$
(X, Y) \longleftrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X & Y  \tag{2}\\
Y & X
\end{array}\right) \in \mathfrak{g l}(n \mid n), \text { where } X, Y \in \operatorname{Mat}(n)
$$

For any associative $A$, we will similarly denote the elements of $\mathfrak{q}(A)$ by pairs $(X, Y)$, where $X, Y \in A$. The brackets between these elements are as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[\left(X_{1}, 0\right),\left(X_{2}, 0\right)\right]:=\left(\left[X_{1}, X_{2}\right], 0\right), \quad[(X, 0),(0, Y)]:=(0,[X, Y]),} \\
& {\left[\left(0, Y_{1}\right),\left(0, Y_{2}\right)\right]:=\left(Y_{1} Y_{2}+Y_{2} Y_{1}, 0\right) .} \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

We define Lie queerifications in cases (2) and (3) in the next section.

## 3 Traces and Supertraces: Generalities

3.1 Theorem Let A be an associative algebra. Then, the estimate of the number of traces in the three cases of its Lie queerification are as follows:

Case 1. Let $Q(A):=A \oplus \Pi(A)$ be the associative queerification of $A$, let $\mathfrak{g}:=A^{L}$ be the corresponding Lie algebra and let the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q g}:=(Q(A))^{S}=\mathfrak{q}(A)$ be the Lie queerification of $A$. Then, there are as many odd supertraces on $\mathfrak{q g}$ as there are traces on $\mathfrak{g}$; there are fewer even supertraces on $\mathfrak{q g}$ than there are traces on $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, if A has unit, then there are no even supertraces on $\mathfrak{q g}$.
Case 2. Let A be a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded associative algebra, $\mathfrak{g}:=A^{L}$. Let $i, j=\overline{0}, \overline{1}$, let $n_{i}$ be the number of traces on $\mathfrak{g}$ of grade $i$, and let $N_{i, j}$ be the number of supertraces on $\mathfrak{q g}$ of grade $(i, j)$. Then,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\overline{1}, \overline{0}}=n_{\overline{0}}, \quad N_{\overline{1}, \overline{1}}=n_{\overline{1}}, \quad N_{\overline{0}, \overline{0}}=\operatorname{codim}_{A_{\overline{0}}}\left(\left(A_{\overline{0}}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{\overline{1}}\right)^{2}\right), \quad N_{\overline{0}, \overline{1}}=\operatorname{codim}_{A_{\overline{1}}}\left(A_{\overline{0}} A_{\overline{1}}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if A has unit, then there are no supertraces on $\mathfrak{q g}$ of grades $(\overline{0}, \overline{0})$ and $(\overline{0}, \overline{1})$.
Case 3. Let $A=A_{\overline{0}} \oplus A_{\overline{1}}$ be an associative superalgebra, $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}:=A^{S}$. Let $Q(A):=A \oplus \Pi(A)$ and $\mathfrak{q g}:=(Q(A))^{S}$. In notation of Case 2$)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\overline{0}, \overline{1}}=n_{\overline{1}}, \quad N_{\overline{0}, \overline{0}}=\operatorname{codim}_{A_{\overline{0}}}\left(\left(A_{\overline{0}}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{\overline{1}}\right)^{2}\right), \quad N_{\overline{1}, \overline{1}}=\operatorname{codim}_{A_{\overline{1}}}\left(A_{\overline{0}} A_{\overline{1}}\right) . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if A has unit, then there are no even supertraces on $\mathfrak{q g}$, i.e., supertraces of grades $(\overline{0}, \overline{0})$ and $(\overline{1}, \overline{1})$.

Proof Case 1. Clearly, $\mathfrak{q g}=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi(\mathfrak{g})$ as spaces.
Denote, for brevity, $\mathfrak{u}:=(\mathfrak{q g})^{\prime}$. By definition, the supercommutant $\mathfrak{u}:=\mathfrak{u}_{\overline{0}} \oplus \mathfrak{u}_{\overline{1}}$ is spanned by elements $[a, b]$ for any $a, b \in \mathfrak{q g}$. In particular,

$$
\mathfrak{u}_{\overline{1}}:=\operatorname{Span}\left([x, \Pi(y)]=\Pi([x, y]) \mid x, y \in(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}}\right),
$$

and hence $\mathfrak{u}_{\overline{1}}=\Pi([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])$. Therefore, there are as many odd supertraces on $\mathfrak{q g}$ as there are traces on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Clearly, $\mathfrak{u}_{\overline{0}}$ is the sum of two ideals of $\mathfrak{g}$ :

$$
\mathfrak{u}_{\overline{0}}=[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]+[\Pi(\mathfrak{g}), \Pi(\mathfrak{g})] .
$$

Observe that the second summand does not have to be contained in the first one. Therefore, there are fewer even supertraces on $\mathfrak{q g}$ than there are traces on $\mathfrak{g}$.

In particular, if $A$ has unit $\mathbb{1}$, then $\mathfrak{u}_{\overline{0}}=\mathfrak{g}$, because $[\Pi(\mathbb{1}), \Pi(x)]=2 x$ for any $x \in \mathfrak{g}_{0}$.

For example, if $A=\operatorname{Mat}(n)$, then on $(Q(A))^{S}$, there is an odd trace, nowadays called queertrace; it was first defined in [2] by the formula

$$
\operatorname{qtr}:\left(\begin{array}{ll}
X & Y \\
Y & X
\end{array}\right) \mapsto \operatorname{tr} Y
$$

Case 2. Clearly, $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-grading of $A$ makes $\mathfrak{g}=\mathfrak{g}_{0} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded Lie algebra.

Actually, this is a particular case of Case 1 . However, a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-grading in the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and hence a $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2$-bigrading in the Lie superalgebra $\mathfrak{q g}:=(Q(A))^{S}$ enable us to sharpen the answer.

We will denote the elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ by letters $x, y, \ldots$, and the elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ by letters $a, b, c, \ldots$ We have $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}=\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)_{\overline{0}} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)_{\overline{1}}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)_{\overline{0}} & =\operatorname{Span}([x, y]:=x y-y x, \quad[a, b]:=a b-b a), \quad\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)_{\overline{1}} \\
& =\operatorname{Span}([x, a]:=x a-a x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The components of $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2)$-grading of $\mathfrak{q g}$ are of the form:

$$
(q \mathfrak{g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{0}}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}, \quad(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{1}}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}, \quad(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{0}}=\Pi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}\right), \quad(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{1}}=\Pi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}\right) .
$$

The elements that span homogeneous components of $(\mathfrak{q g})^{\prime}$ are as follows:

| $(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{0}}^{\prime}$ | $(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{1}}^{\prime}$ | $(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{0}}^{\prime}$ | $(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{1}}^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[x, y]=x y-y x$ | $[x, a]$ | $[x, \Pi(y]$ | $[x, \Pi(a)]$ |
| $[a, b]=a b-b a$ | $=x a-a x$ | $=\Pi(x y-y x)$ | $=\Pi(x a-a x)$ |
| $[\Pi(a), \Pi(b)]=a b+b a$ | $[\Pi(a), \Pi(x)]$ | $[a, \Pi(b)]$ | $[a, \Pi(x)]$ |
| $[\Pi(x), \Pi(y)]=x y+y x$ | $=a x+x a$ | $=\Pi(a b-b a)$ | $=\Pi(a x-x a)$ |

Therefore,

$$
(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{0}}^{\prime}=\Pi(\mathfrak{g})_{\overline{0}}^{\prime}, \quad(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{1}}^{\prime}=\Pi(\mathfrak{g})_{\overline{1}}^{\prime}, \quad(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{0}}^{\prime}=\left(A_{\overline{0}}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{\overline{1}}\right)^{2}, \quad(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{1}}^{\prime}=A_{\overline{0}} A_{\overline{1}},
$$

where the last two equalities mean equalities as spaces.
We see that equalities (4) are satisfied. In particular, if $A$ has unit, then

$$
(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{0}}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \text { and }(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{1}}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}} .
$$

Hence, in this case, there are no supertraces on $\mathfrak{q g}$ of grades $(\overline{0}, \overline{0})$ and $(\overline{0}, \overline{1})$.
Case 3. Clearly, $\mathfrak{q g}=\mathfrak{g} \oplus \Pi(\mathfrak{g})$ as superspaces. It is also clear that the natural $(\mathbb{Z} / 2 \times \mathbb{Z} / 2)$-grading on $Q(A)$ induces the same grading on $\mathfrak{q g}$, where

$$
(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{0}}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}}, \quad(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{1}}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}, \quad(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{0}}=\Pi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{0}\right), \quad(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{1}}=\Pi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}\right) .
$$

Let us now compare the supercommutants of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $\mathfrak{q g}$. We will denote the elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{0}$ by letters $x, y, \ldots$, and the elements of $\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}$ by letters $a, b, c, \ldots$ We have $\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}=$ $\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)_{\overline{0}} \oplus\left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)_{\overline{1}}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)_{\overline{0}}=\operatorname{Span}([x, y]:=x y-y x, \quad[a, b]:=a b+b a), \\
& \left(\mathfrak{g}^{\prime}\right)_{\overline{1}}=\operatorname{Span}([x, a]:=x a-a x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

| $(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{0}}^{\prime}$ | $(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{1}}^{\prime}$ | $(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{0}}^{\prime}$ | $(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{1}}^{\prime}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $[x, y]=x y-y x$ | $[x, a]$ | $[x, \Pi(y]$ | $[x, \Pi(a)]$ |
| $[a, b]=a b+b a$ | $=x a-a x$ | $=\Pi(x y-y x)$ | $=\Pi(x a-a x)$ |
| $[\Pi(a), \Pi(b)]=a b-b a$ | $[\Pi(a), \Pi(x)]$ | $[a, \Pi(b)]$ | $[a, \Pi(x)]$ |
| $[\Pi(x), \Pi(y)]=x y+y x$ | $=a x-x a$ | $=\Pi(a b-b a)$ | $=\Pi(a x+x a)$ |

The elements that span homogeneous components of $(\mathfrak{q g})^{\prime}$ are as follows: Therefore,

$$
(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{1}}^{\prime}=(\mathfrak{g})_{\overline{1}}^{\prime}, \quad(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{0}}^{\prime}=\left(A_{\overline{0}}\right)^{2}+\left(A_{\overline{1}}\right)^{2}, \quad(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{1}}^{\prime}=\Pi\left(A_{\overline{0}} A_{\overline{1}}\right),
$$

where the last two equalities mean equalities as spaces.
We see that equalities (5) are satisfied. In particular, if $A$ has unit, then

$$
(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{0}, \overline{0}}^{\prime}=\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{0}} \text { and }(\mathfrak{q g})_{\overline{1}, \overline{1}}^{\prime}=\Pi\left(\mathfrak{g}_{\overline{1}}\right)
$$

Hence, in this case, there are no even supertraces on $\mathfrak{q g}$, i.e., supertraces of grades $(\overline{0}, \overline{0})$ and $(\overline{1}, \overline{1})$.

## 4 Examples of Supertraces on Queerified Algebras and Superalgebras

### 4.1 Clifford-Weyl Algebras and Superalgebras

Among various definitions of the Weyl and Clifford algebras, we select their description as associative (super)algebras of differential operators with polynomial coefficients on the $2 n \mid m$-dimensional superspace with coordinates $u:=(x, \xi)$ generated by the $u_{i}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}}$ subject to the relations $\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial u_{i}}, u_{j}\right]=\delta_{i j}$.

Recall that the Clifford algebra Cliff $(2 m)$ on $2 m$ generators can be considered as a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded associative superalgebra generated by the anti-commuting elements $\xi_{i}$ and $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{i}}$, which is natural to consider as a superalgebra with the $\xi_{i}$, and hence $\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{i}}$, odd.

The Clifford algebra Cliff $(2 m-1)$ is defined as the algebra preserving an element $J \in \operatorname{Cliff}(2 m)$ such that $J^{2}=a$ id for any fixed $a \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$. For example, one can take $J=\sqrt{-1}\left(\xi_{1}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi_{1}}\right)$, then $J^{2}=-1$.

Clearly, by a linear change of indeterminates, the Clifford algebra $\operatorname{Cliff}(m)$ can be given for any $m$ by relations $\theta_{i}^{2}=1$ for $i=1, \ldots m$ in terms of the new indeterminates $\theta_{i}$.

The Weyl algebra $W_{n}$ of polynomial differential operators in $n$ even indeterminates $x_{i}$ is an associative algebra generated by $n$ commuting indeterminates $x_{i}$ and the corresponding $\partial_{i}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$. More generally, define the Clifford-Weyl superalgebra $\mathrm{CW}(n \mid m):=W_{n} \otimes \operatorname{Cliff}(m)$.
4.1 Theorem Let A be a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded simple associative algebra of characteristic $p \neq 2$ with supercenter $Z$ whose elements supercommute with any $a \in A$. Let the

Montgomery's condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { if } u^{2} \in Z \text {, then } u \in Z \text { for any homogeneous } u \in A_{\overline{1}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

hold. Then, there are no even supertraces on $\mathfrak{q} A$, but there is one odd supertrace.
Proof Observe that the superalgebra $A$ of differential operators in any finite number of indigenously odd indeterminates (a.k.a. the Clifford algebra on $2 n$ generators considered as a $\mathbb{Z} / 2$-graded associative superalgebra) is isomorphic to the matrix superalgebra $\operatorname{Mat}\left(2^{n-1} \mid 2^{n-1}\right)$ on which there is an even supertrace, whereas on $\mathfrak{q}\left(2^{n}\right):=\mathfrak{q}\left(\mathfrak{g l}\left(2^{n-1} \mid 2^{n-1}\right)\right)=\mathfrak{q}\left(\left(\operatorname{Mat}\left(2^{n-1} \mid 2^{n-1}\right)\right)^{L}\right)$ there is the (well-known today) odd queer trace. Therefore, by arguments in the proof of Theorem 3.1, and using Montgomery's theorem [18, Th.3.8] which states that, provided condition (6) holds, $S L(A):=\left(A^{S}\right)^{\prime} /\left(\left(A^{S}\right)^{\prime} \cap Z\right)$ is a simple Lie superalgebra, we are done.

Comments Vasiliev was, most probably, the first to publish that on the Weyl algebra $W_{n}$ of polynomial differential operators in $n$ even indeterminates $x_{i}$ considered as superalgebra with parity given by $p\left(x_{i}\right)=p\left(\partial_{i}\right)=\overline{1}$ for all $i$, where $\partial_{i}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}}$, there is an even supertrace, see [24].

For a generalized Calogero-Moser case, see [25]; the detailed version [26] contains an elementary proof of uniqueness of the supertrace on $W_{n}$. The algebras of "matrices of complex size" first appeared as associative algebras in the book [5] and as Lie algebras in [7]. For a generalization to symplectic reflection algebras, see [11, Th.7.1.1]. Alexey Lebedev suggested a beautiful elementary proof of the existence of the supertrace on $W_{n}$, see $\S 6$.

Recall that Herstein, see [8], proved that for any simple finite-dimensional associative algebra $A$ with center $Z$, the Lie algebra $L(A):=\left(A^{L}\right)^{\prime} /\left(\left(A^{L}\right)^{\prime} \cap Z\right)$ is simple, unless $[A: Z]=4$ and $A$ has characteristic 2 .

Obviously unaware of Vasiliev's works on supertraces, his results were rediscovered by mathematicians, see [18, Proposition 4.3] and [19]. Montgomery found out the sufficient condition (6) to the super version of Herstein's theorem (see [8]) and formulated it in the infinite-dimensional situation (in the finite-dimensional case, it is also true).

## 4.2 (Super)algebras of "Matrices of Complex Size"

Theorem 4.1 is applicable to the queerifications of both algebras and superalgebras $A$ of "matrices of complex size", see [15, Subsection 2.2] with the same answer: there are no even supertraces on $\mathfrak{q} A$, but there is one odd supertrace. (Since $\mathfrak{g l}(\lambda)=\mathfrak{g l}(\lambda)^{\prime} \oplus \mathbb{C} 1$, one can define the trace on the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g l}(\lambda)$ by any value on 1 . Although we do not need it here, recall-for its beauty-that J. Bernstein defined the trace on $\mathfrak{g l}(\lambda)$ for $\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{Z}$, see [9], so that $\operatorname{tr}(1)=\lambda$; Bernstein's trace naturally generalizes the trace on $\operatorname{Mat}(|n|)$ such that $\operatorname{tr}\left(1_{|n|}\right)=|n|$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash\{0\}$.)

### 4.3 Symplectic Reflection Algebras and Superalgebras

For the classification of traces (resp. supertraces) on these algebras and superalgebras $A$, see [10, Tables on pp.5,6]. Considering them as algebras (resp. superalgebras) we get the exact number of supertraces on their Lie qeerifications, according to general results established in Case 1 (resp. Case 3) of Theorem 3.1.
Open problem For a description of ideals in these algebras and superalgebras, see [1113]. Determine when these ideals are themselves simple algebras and superalgebras, and describe (super)traces on them and on their queerifications.

## 4.4 (Super)Trace on the (Super)Algebra of Pseudo-Differential Operators

### 4.4.1 $N=0$

Recall that the associative algebra $\Psi$ of pseudo-differential operators of integer order is $\mathcal{F}\left(\left(D^{-1}\right)\right)$, where $D:=\frac{d}{d x}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is the algebra of functions in $x$, with multiplication given for any integer $n$ by the Leibniz rule

$$
\begin{aligned}
D^{n} f & :=\sum_{k \geq 0}\binom{n}{k} D^{k}(f) D^{n-k}, \text { where }\binom{n}{k} \\
& :=\frac{n(n-1) \ldots(n-k+1)}{k!}, \text { for any } f \in \mathcal{F} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Adler defined a trace on the algebra $\Psi$ of pseudo-differential operators, see [1] and very reader-friendly reviews $[16,20]$, as the composition of the residue and the indefinite integral

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\sum_{k \leq n} f_{k} D^{k}\right)=\int f_{-1} d x, \text { where } f_{k} \in \mathcal{F} .
$$

This trace (it vanishes on the commutators even before the integral is taken, just residue suffices, see [20]) takes values in $\mathcal{F}$. By Theorem 3.1, there are no even supertraces on $\mathfrak{q} \Psi$, but there are $\geq 1$ odd supertraces; we conjecture there is just one odd supertrace.

### 4.4.2 $N=1$

On the superalgebra $\Psi_{1}:=\mathcal{F}\left(\left(\mathcal{D}^{-1}\right)\right)$ of $N=1$-extended pseudo-differential operators, where $\mathcal{D}:=\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi}+\xi \frac{\partial}{\partial x}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ is the algebra of functions in the even $x$ and odd $\xi$, Manin and Radul defined super residue, super binomial coefficients and an even supertrace, see [17]. By Theorem 3.1, there are no even supertraces on $\mathfrak{q} \Psi_{1}$, but there are $\geq 1$ odd supertraces; we conjecture there is just one odd supertrace.

## 5 An Application of Traces: Integrals in Involution

Let $A$ be an associative (super)algebra, $\mathfrak{g}:=A^{L}$ or $A^{S}$, $\operatorname{tr}$ a (super)trace on $A$, and $b$ the corresponding invariant symmetric bilinear form (briefly: IS form)

$$
b(X, Y):=\operatorname{tr}(X Y) \text { for any } X, Y \in A .
$$

Let, moreover, $b$ be non-degenerate, briefly: NIS (for examples, see [3, 12]). Since the spaces of $\mathfrak{g}$ and $A$ coincide, we can (and will) consider $b$ as a form on $\mathfrak{g}$.

Let tr , and hence $b$, be even. Let $L:=L(t) \in \mathfrak{g}$ be a point on the curve depending on parameter $t$ interpreted as time, $P \in \mathfrak{g}$ a fixed element, called/interpreted as a Hamiltonian. Then, for the equation ( $P$ and $L$ are in honor of Peter Lax)
$\dot{L}=[L, P]$, where $L, P \in \mathfrak{g}$ and dot signifies the derivative with respect to time $t$,
the functions $L \mapsto \operatorname{tr}\left(L^{k}\right)$ on $\mathfrak{g}$, identified with $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ thanks to the NIS $b$, are integrals in involution, i.e., they commute with the Hamiltonian $P$ and each other with respect to the Poisson bracket $\{-,-\}$ defined on the space $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)$ of functions on $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$ as follows, see, e.g., [1]. We identify $\mathfrak{g}$ with the space of linear functions on $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$; for any functions $f, g \in \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)$, set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{f, g\}(X):=X([\mathrm{~d} f(X), \mathrm{d} g(X)]) \text { for any } X \in \mathfrak{g}^{*} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the super setting, a more subtle version of (7) is more adequate: it involves a 1|1-dimensional Time with even coordinate $t$ and odd one $\tau$, see [22]:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial_{\tau}+\tau \partial_{t}\right) L=[L, H], \text { where } L, H \in A \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\left(\partial_{\tau}+\tau \partial_{t}\right)^{2}=\partial_{t}$ and setting $P=\frac{1}{2}[H, H]$ we get Eq. (7). The functions $L \mapsto \operatorname{tr}\left(L^{k}\right)$ are integrals in involution for Eq. (9) as well.

It seems, nobody considered yet the Euler equations or Lax pairs (7) related with superalgebras $A$ on which there is an odd trace qtr, and hence an odd $b$. If $b$ is odd and non-degenerate, then $\mathfrak{g} \simeq \Pi \mathfrak{g}^{*}$, and an antibracket, rather than a Poisson bracket, is defined on the space $\Pi \mathcal{F}\left(\mathfrak{g}^{*}\right)$ of functions on $\mathfrak{g}^{*}$. The functions $L \mapsto \operatorname{qtr}\left(L^{k}\right)$ are integrals in involution, i.e., they commute with the Hamiltonian and each other (themselves including) with respect to the antibracket.

On $2 n \mid k$-dimensional superspace on which the Poisson bracket is defined (or $n \mid n$ dimensional superspace on which the antibracket bracket is defined), let for the dynamical system (7), there be $n$ first integrals in involution. Then, a theorem of Shander guarantees complete integrability of the system whatever $k<\infty$ is, see [23].

The traces on (super)algebras $A$ considered in Sect. 4.4 determine what researchers conceded to call "complete integrability" in the case of infinite-dimensional Hamiltonian system (since there are infinitely many of these traces, "this infinity is a half of the infinite dimension"). Examples: (1) the KdV equations for the case where $L$ is
the Schrödinger operator; (2) ( $N=1$ )-superextended KdV, see Sect. 4.4.2, where $L$ is an $(N=1)$-superextension of the Schrödinger operator.
Open problem For any simple associative algebra $A$ considered in this note, give explicit examples of integrable systems related with $Q(A)$.

## 6 Supertrace on $W_{n}$

Although the statement of Lemma 6.1 is known, we find its proof due to Lebedev is very interesting and worth publishing.

### 6.1 Two General Facts [21]

1. If there is no (super)trace on an associative (super)algebra $A$, then there is no (super)trace on any product $A \otimes B$ for any associative (super)algebra $B$ with unit: if $\left[a_{1}, a_{2}\right]=a$, then $\left[a_{1} \otimes 1, a_{2} \otimes b\right]=a \otimes b$ for any $a_{1}, a_{2} \in A$ and $b \in B$, i.e., if any element of $A$ can be represented as a linear combination of (super)commutators, then the same is true for any element of $A \otimes B$.
2. Let $\operatorname{tr}_{i}$ be a (super)trace on the associative (super)algebra $A_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. Then,

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(a_{1} \otimes a_{2}\right):=\left(\operatorname{tr}_{1} a_{1}\right)\left(\operatorname{tr}_{2} a_{2}\right) \text { for any } a_{i} \in A_{i}
$$

is a (super)trace on $A_{1} \otimes A_{2}$.
6.1 Lemma Consider $W_{n}$ as a superalgebra with $p\left(x_{i}\right)=p\left(\partial_{x_{i}}\right)=\overline{1}$.

Then, on $W_{n}$, there is an even supertrace.
Observe that if we consider $W_{n}$ as an algebra, not a superalgebra, no analog of Lemma 6.1 takes place since the associative algebra $W_{n}$ is simple; on the other hand, the center (constants) is given by a non-trivial cocycle on the simple Lie algebra constructed via Montgomery's theorem ( [18, Th.3.8]). The proof below demonstrates existence of the supertrace; its uniqueness (up to a non-zero factor) should be proved separately. For the proof of uniqueness, see [18, 26].

Proof (A. Lebedev) Actually, $W_{n}=\mathbb{K} 1 \oplus\left[W_{n}, W_{n}\right]$, where $\left[W_{n}, W_{n}\right]$ is the supercommutant.

Let $n=1$ and $D:=\frac{d}{d x}$. Introduce the weight function wht: let $\operatorname{wht}(x):=1$, so wht $(D):=-1$. On $W_{n}$, define the following linear function $T$ :

$$
T(P):= \begin{cases}\left.\left(P\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right)\right)\right|_{x=1} & \text { if } \operatorname{wht}(P)=0 \\ 0 & \text { if } \operatorname{wht}(P) \neq 0\end{cases}
$$

Let us prove that $T$ is a supertrace on $W_{n}$, i.e.,

$$
T(P Q)=(-1)^{p(P) p(Q)} T(Q P)
$$

Clearly, it suffices to prove this for the case where $P$ and $Q$ are monomials whose weights are opposite.

Case 1: $P=x^{n+1} D^{n}$ and $Q=D$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(P Q) & =\left.\left(x^{n+1}(-1)^{n+1} \frac{(n+1)!}{(x+1)^{n+2}}\right)\right|_{x=1}=-\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+2}(n+1)! \\
T(Q P) & =\left(\left.D\left(x^{n+1}(-1)^{n} \frac{n!}{(x+1)^{n+1}}\right)\right|_{x=1} ^{n}\right. \\
& =\left.\left((-1)^{n} \frac{(n+1)!x^{n}}{(x+1)^{n+1}}-(-1)^{n} \frac{(n+1)!x^{n+1}}{(x+1)^{n+2}}\right)\right|_{x=1}=\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^{n+2}(n+1)!
\end{aligned}
$$

This implies the answer for the case where $Q=D$ and any $P$ of weight 1 , because any such $P$ can be represented as a linear combination of operators of the form $x^{n+1} D^{n}$.

Case 2: $\operatorname{wht}(P)=-1$ and $Q=x$. Then,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T(Q P)=\left.\left(x P\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right)\right)\right|_{x=1}=\left.\left(P\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right)\right)\right|_{x=1} \\
& T(P Q)=\left.\left(P\left(\frac{x}{x+1}\right)\right)\right|_{x=1}=\left.\left(P\left(1-\frac{1}{x+1}\right)\right)\right|_{x=1}=-\left.\left(P\left(\frac{1}{x+1}\right)\right)\right|_{x=1},
\end{aligned}
$$

since $P(1)=0$ because $\operatorname{wht}(P)<0$.
This implies the general case where $P$ and $Q$ are monomials of opposite weights, because we can transplant $x$ and $D$, one by one, from the end of $P Q$ to the beginning until we get $Q P$, and each transplantation changes the sign by the opposite; by $(-1)^{\operatorname{deg}(Q)}=(-1)^{p(P) p(Q)}$ altogether.

Since $T(1)=\frac{1}{2}$, it follows that 1 cannot be represented as a linear combination of supercommutators.

For $n>1$, recall that $W_{n} \simeq W_{n-1} \otimes W_{1}$ and apply general fact 2), see Sect. 6.1.
Comment: how to guess the form of $T$ (A. Lebedev). Let $P$ be differential operator of weight 0 . In the basis $1, x, x^{2}, \ldots$, consider $P$ as a linear operator on the space of polynomials and consider the matrix of $P$. Naively, ignoring possible divergence, the supertrace of this matrix is equal to

$$
\left.\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(-1)^{n} \text { (the coefficient of } x^{n} \text { in } P x^{n}\right)
$$

Since wht $(P)=0$, then $P x^{n}$ is equal to the above-mentioned coefficient of $x^{n}$. In other words, the coefficient is equal to the value of $P x^{n}$ at $x=1$. Hence, the supertrace is equal to

$$
\left.\sum_{n}(-1)^{n} P x^{n}\right|_{x=1}=\left.P\left(1-x+x^{2}-x^{3}+\cdots\right)\right|_{x=1}=\left.\left(P\left(\frac{1}{1+x}\right)\right)\right|_{x=1}
$$
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